



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Douglas H. Bedgood 10/26/2001 340201-1010 6833 10/010,563 EXAMINER 24504 7590 01/13/2004 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP MATHEW, FENN C 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948 3764 DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/010,563		BEDGOOD, DOUGLAS H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Fenn C Mathew	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	otobor 2002			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Or</u>				
,	action is non-final.	matters prosecution as to the	a marite ie	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,11 and 15-31</u> is/are pending in the		•	• ,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11 and 15-31</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		v.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	taminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form P	10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C.C. S. 110(a) (d) or (f)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received shave been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) of the certified copies or priority under 35 U st sentence of the spoots o	d. d in Application No been received in this National s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ecification or in an Application has been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific	
Attachment(s)	, .		(a)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:		
L.C. Betant and Trademark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that amendments to the method claims have been amended. This is found persuasive. Claims 1, 2, 11, and 15-31 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 15-17, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurosawa (JP06-47082). Kurosawa teaches a foot massager comprising a base (10) and rigid massage members (22), and mounting members (13) fixed to the bottom surface of the base and adapted to support the base and the massage member while pressure is applied thereto (fig. 8). Kurosawa in figures 8-9 show the massage member (22) having a substantially ellipsoid shape and approximately circular cross section. Kurosawa lacks a rigid base, however, the feature of choosing a rigid base for supporting the massage members is considered as an obvious design choice since rigid bases are notoriously old and well known in the massaging art. Furthermore, Kurosawa appears to show that the massage members may be removed from cavities (fig. 8-9), and furthermore would allow one portion of the massage member to be removed while the other is pivoted.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,563

Art Unit: 3764

3. Regarding claim 2 and 22, Kurosawa does not explicitly state that the two massage member being arranged in a substantially V-shaped configuration or substantially L-shaped configuration. However, the feature of arranging massage members in a particular shape e.g. V-shape configuration is considered as an obvious design choice, since the configuration is not critical (see page 6, lines 9-12 of the applicant's specification) and it appears that Kurosawa's massage members would perform equally well with the V-shaped configuration or L-shaped configuration.

Page 3

- 4. Referring to claims 15-17, Kurosawa teaches the recited structure above. The method of use would be obvious to the skilled artisan, as the device may be placed on any support surface, and can be engaged by the feet of a user, thereby providing massaging effects to the muscles of the feet.
- 5. Claims 11 and 18-21 and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurosawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Voykin (U.S. 4,852,553). Kurosawa has the mounting members (13) for preventing the base from slipping (col. 4, lines 20-21) instead of suction cups. However, Voykin teaches suction cups (37) (figure 2e). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute Kurosawa's element (13) with suction cups as taught by Voykin so as to be able to more securely fix the device on the floor.
- 6. Referring to claims 23-31, the modified Kurosawa has disclosed a device including mounting means which allow the device to be mounted in different positions

Application/Control Number: 10/010,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

on different support surfaces, and is capable of permitting a user to engage in various massage techniques thereby affecting various muscle areas.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments, with respect to drawing objections and rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The aforementioned objections have been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 06/20/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Kurosawa discloses the claimed structure. Limitations drawn to functional recitations are not given patentable weight if they are in narrative form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC 11, 6th paragraph and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279. In the instant case, applicant has not provided any distinguishing structural limitations that would warrant the presence of the functional language, as the claimed structure is broadly drafted, and the device of Kurosawa teaches the claimed structural limitations. With regards to claims 23-31, applicant has merely stated that the device is placed in varying positions in order so that the device is capable of massaging various muscle groups. Applicant has provided no specificity to these positions, nor has applicant provided any further structure to further limit the device over the teaching of Kurosawa.

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (703) 305-2846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

NICYOTAS D. LUCCHESI

SUPERLISCH VI-ANILICH DYAMIN'ER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

fom

January 10, 2004